

TITLE OF INVENTION
"Voltage-to-current converter"

* * *

FIELD OF INVENTION

The present invention relates to voltage-to-current converters.

BACKGROUND ART

Microcontroller-supervised systems use digital-to-analog converters (DACs) in order to generate analog voltages used for controlling other devices. While commercial DACs generate a voltage as the analog output, in some cases the device to be controlled is essentially current-driven, which means that the behaviour of the controlled device depends on the current injected into or sunk through its input. In the case of these current-driven circuits, additional circuitry is required between the DAC and the controlled device. Such additional circuitry is usually in the form of a voltage-to-current converter, which is also currently referred to as a "transconductance" amplifier.

The simplest approach to voltage-to-current conversion is shown in Figure 1 and essentially provides for the use of a single, purely passive component such as a resistor. In the diagram of Figure 1, a resistor R is connected between the output of the DAC and a current-controlled device D, such as a driver unit for a load, such as a semiconductor diode laser source L. The DAC is controlled via a line C by a microcontroller designated M. (While the present invention was developed by paying specific attention to the possible use of circuitry for controlling a laser driver via a microcontroller, reference to this use is not to be construed as limiting the scope of the invention.)

If V_{dac} designates the voltage output of the DAC and V_{in} is the voltage at the input of the controlled device D the current I_{in} input to the device D can be simply expressed as:

$$I_{in} = (V_{dac} - V_{in}) / R.$$

The arrangement of Figure 1 has the disadvantage that the resulting current I_{in} is not stable when the load voltage e.g., the voltage at the input of device D, changes. Additionally, there may be an offset in voltage-to-current response that is a zero current for non-zero voltage and/or vice versa.

Also, there is no positive I_{in} for positive V_{dac} if V_{dac} is less than V_{in} . If V_{in} changes (for instance in the presence of a thermal drift in the device to be controlled), I_{in} changes even if the DAC setting (and thus V_{dac}) has not changed, which is undesirable in most applications.

An alternative prior art arrangement is shown in Figure 2, where the same references designate elements identical or equivalent to those already considered in Figure 1.

The arrangement of Figure 2 employs a DC operational amplifier A having (1) a positive (non-inverting) input terminal fed with the output voltage V_{dac} from the DAC and (2) an inverting input terminal fed with the voltage provided by a negative feedback loop comprising a voltage divider connected between the output of the amplifier A and ground. Amplifier A is constructed so the voltage and current at its output terminal is directly proportional to and has the same polarity as the voltage at the amplifier non-inverting input terminal minus the voltage at the amplifier inverting input terminal. The voltage divider in question includes device D to be controlled and resistor R.

In this case, if device D comprising the load of the circuit has an impedance Z_L the current I_{load} flowing through the load can be expressed as:

$$I_{load} = V_{dac} / R.$$

In this case the load current I_{load} is linear with V_{dac} . However, the load D floats, that is neither of its terminals is connected to ground. This is seldom true for loads that are active devices such as, for instance, inputs of integrated circuits.

A classic circuit for a ground-terminated load is shown in Figure 3 wherein voltage V_{dac} is applied to the inverting input terminal of the amplifier A via first resistor B1. Resistor B4 is connected as a feedback resistor between the amplifier output terminal and the inverting input terminal. The resistors B1 and B4 thus comprise a first voltage divider between the amplifier output and the DAC output. An intermediate point of the divider is connected to the inverting input of the amplifier A. A second voltage divider including resistors B2 and B3 is somewhat similarly associated with the non-inverting input terminal of the amplifier A. Specifically, the resistor B3 is connected between the amplifier output terminal and the non-inverting input terminal while the resistor B2 is connected between the non-inverting input terminal of the amplifier A and ground. Load D is connected in parallel with resistor B2.

The main disadvantage of the circuit of Figure 3 is that the overall gain is negative. When V_{dac} is positive, I_{load} is negative which means that to have a positive I_{load} , V_{dac} must be negative. The requirement for I_{load} and V_{dac} to have opposite polarities requires a bi-polarity DC power supply. Because most circuits use single, positive-only or negative-only power supply voltages, the circuit of Figure 3 is usually not feasible.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

One aspect of the invention relates to a voltage-to-current converter including (1) a differential amplifier having non-inverting and inverting inputs, and (2) associated circuitry for (a) applying an input voltage

signal to the converter and (b) deriving from the associated circuitry an output signal current for driving a load. A sensing resistor is series connected with the load and has opposite first and second terminals for respectively applying voltages to first and second feedback loops. The loops are respectively associated with the non-inverting and inverting inputs of the differential amplifier. Each feedback loop includes (a) an intermediate tap connected to a respective input of the differential amplifier, (b) a first branch including a first resistor connected between the intermediate point associated with the particular feedback loop and the terminal of the sensing resistor associated with the particular feedback loop. Hence, the sensing resistor is connected between the first branches of the first and second feedback loops. Each of the loops also includes a second branch having a second resistor connected between the intermediate point associated with the particular feedback loop and an input port of the converter circuit. The first resistors in the feedback loops have resistance values that are of the same order of magnitude and are substantially higher than the resistance values of the sensing resistor and the load. The current across the sensing resistor constitutes an output signal current directly proportional to the input voltage signal applied between the input ports of the second branches of the first and the second feedback loops.

Further aspects of the present invention are directed to several different features in combination with circuitry having a common theme. The circuitry having the common theme comprises an output terminal connected to a load, e.g., laser diode. An amplifier arrangement has inverting and non-inverting input terminals and an output terminal for deriving an output voltage having a magnitude directly proportional to the difference in the voltages at the inverting and non-inverting output terminals. A sensing resistor is connected between the circuit output terminal and the amplifier arrangement output terminal. A first

feedback path is connected between the output terminal of the amplifier arrangement and one of the input terminals of the amplifier arrangement. A second feedback path is connected between the output terminal of the circuit and the other input terminal of the amplifier arrangement. The first feedback circuit is included in a first resistive voltage divider connected between the circuit input terminal and the output terminal of the amplifier arrangement. The second feedback circuit is included in a second resistive voltage divider connected between a further terminal and the circuit output terminal. The first voltage divider has a tap connected to drive the first input terminal of the amplifier arrangement. The second voltage divider has a tap connected to drive the second input terminal of the amplifier arrangement. The voltage dividers have voltage division factors and the sensing resistor has a value for causing the current flowing through the circuit output terminal into the load to be directly proportional to the difference in the voltages at the circuit input terminal and the further terminal.

This common theme, except for the laser diode, is disclosed by Walsh (US 3,564,444). However, the Walsh patent does not disclose several additional features that have advantages over the Walsh circuit for converting an input voltage into a current that is applied to a load, particularly a laser diode load.

The first feature is that the resistance of the first voltage divider between the output and first input terminals of the amplifier arrangement and the resistance of the second voltage divider between the circuit output terminal and the second input terminal of the amplifier arrangement are of the same order of magnitude and have much greater resistance than the resistance of the sensor resistance. By providing such resistances in the first and second voltage dividers, as stated, (1) more efficient operation is attained because of the lower current supplied

to the inverting and non-inverting input terminals of the amplifier arrangement and (2) substantially balanced operation of the amplifier arrangement occurs.

A second feature is that (1) the resistance (R_1) of the first voltage divider between the output and first input terminals of the amplifier arrangement is of the same order of magnitude as the resistance of the second voltage divider between the circuit output terminal and the second terminal of the amplifier arrangement, and (2) the resistance (R_2) of the first voltage divider between the first input terminal of the amplifier arrangement and the circuit input terminal is of the same order of magnitude as the resistance between the second input terminal of the amplifier arrangement and the further terminal. Because the values of R_1 , as well as R_2 are as set forth in this feature there is greater symmetry, and therefore more stable operation, to the amplifier arrangement. This is in contrast to the Walsh circuit wherein there is a 100:1 ratio between the equivalent resistances of the first and second voltage dividers.

The third feature involves connecting first and second electrodes of a laser diode load to be respectively responsive to the voltage of a non-grounded voltage of a DC voltage source and the circuit output terminal. The DC voltage source and the laser diode polarity are such that DC current flows between the DC voltage source ungrounded terminal and the circuit output terminal via the laser diode. In contrast, in the Walsh circuit, a diode is connected between the circuit output terminal and ground. By connecting the laser diode in accordance with this feature, applicant attains greater laser diode operating stability (for certain types of lasers) than is attained by connecting the diode terminals between the circuit output terminal and ground.

According to a fourth feature, the first and second input terminals of the amplifier arrangement are respectively the non-inverting and inverting input

terminals of the amplifier arrangement. In addition, the amplifier arrangement is arranged in a differential way so the gain factor polarity between inverting and non-inverting input terminals and the output terminal of the amplifier arrangement causes current at the output of the amplifier arrangement to be directly proportional to and the same polarity as $(V_a - V_b)$, where V_a and V_b are respectively the voltages at the non-inverting and inverting input terminals of the amplifier arrangement. Such an amplifier arrangement preferably includes a conventional operational amplifier. In the Walsh circuit, there is only one input terminal (V_{in}). By employing an amplifier arrangement including the differential feature as stated, the circuit can (1) handle certain output current ranges that Walsh cannot handle, and (2) perform certain functions that Walsh cannot perform.

A fifth feature involves connecting the circuit input terminal and the further terminal to first and second input voltage sources, respectively. As a result, the circuit is adapted to supply to the circuit output terminal a current having a magnitude directly proportional to the difference of the voltages of the first and second voltage sources as applied to the circuit input and further terminals. In Walsh, the equivalent of the further terminal is grounded and connected to a first voltage divider consisting of two series connected resistors each having a value of 1 kilohm. The first voltage divider has a tap connected between the two 1 kilohm resistors connected to the inverting input terminal of operational amplifier. The non-inverting input terminal is connected to a second voltage divider consisting of two 100 kilohm resistors and driver by an input source. The different impedance levels of the two voltage dividers precludes effective operation of the Walsh circuit as a differential amplifier responsive to a pair of input voltage sources.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

The invention will now be described, by way of non-limiting example only, with reference to the annexed figures of drawing, wherein:

Figures 1 to 3, as previously described, relate to the prior art;

Figure 4 is a circuit diagram of a first circuit according to the first embodiment of the invention;

Figure 5 is modification and generalization of the circuit of Figure 4; and

Figures 6 and 7 are circuit diagrams of further embodiments of the invention, particularly applicable for controlling a laser diode.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

Throughout Figures 4 to 7 the same references already appearing in Figures 1 to 3 designate parts or elements (e.g. a microcontroller, a digital to analog converter, and so on) that were discussed in the foregoing.

Similarly to the arrangement of Figure 3, the arrangement of Figure 4 provides for the presence of positive and negative feedback loops including voltage dividers, including four resistors, associated with both inputs of the amplifier A.

The arrangement of Figure 4 includes a further resistor R_s associated with the output of the amplifier A. In this specific arrangement, that represents one of the many possible embodiments of the invention, the resistor R_s has a first lead or terminal connected to the output of the amplifier A and a second terminal connected to a first terminal of the load D. The opposite terminal of the load D, that has an impedance Z_L , is connected to ground. The resistor R_s is thus arranged in series with the load D. The current flowing through the load D is designated I_{load} .

A first one of voltage dividers associated with the inputs of the amplifier A comprises a negative feedback loop including:

(1) a first (upper) branch with a resistor R1 connected between the inverting input of the amplifier A and the terminal of Rs directly connected to the output of the amplifier A to sense a voltage V_{s2} , and

(2) a second (lower) branch with a resistor R2 connected between the inverting input of the amplifier A and ground.

The second voltage divider associated with the inputs of the amplifier A comprises a positive feedback loop including:

(1) a first branch with a resistor R1 connected between the non-inverting input of the amplifier and the terminal of the resistor Rs that is common with an ungrounded terminal of load D to sense a voltage V_{s1} , and

(2) a second branch with a resistor R2 through which the output of voltage from the DAC converter, namely V_{dac} , is applied to the non-inverting input of the amplifier A.

The values of the resistors R1 are selected in such a way that the currents flowing through them are negligible so that the current flowing through the sensing resistor Rs is identical to the current I_{load} flowing through the load D. Due to the action performed by the two feedback loops comprising the voltage dividers including resistors R1 and R2, the current through Rs is proportional to the input voltage V_{dac} .

More specifically, solving the network equations ruling the behaviour of the circuit arrangement of Figure 4 (which equations and the respective solving procedure are not reported herein) shows that, provided R1 is much larger than Rs, Z_L , (where Z_L denotes the impedance value of the load D) the current flowing through the load D, namely I_{load} , can be expressed as:

$$I_{load} = (V_{dac}/Rs) \cdot (R1/R2)$$

Since the resistors R1 are connected to the two opposite terminals of Rs, other components (as better explained in the following) can be connected in series with the output of the operational amplifier A, that is between

the output of the operational amplifier A and R_s/R_1 , but this does not change the behaviour and operation of the circuit shown.

The feedback resistors R_1 (and indirectly R_2 , since the ratio R_1/R_2 sets the gain of the transimpedance amplifier) have a value much higher than the resistance/impedance values of the "sensing" resistor R_s and the load Z_L . As a result the resistors R_1 , R_2 comprising the feedback loops/voltage dividers primarily sense voltages while the currents flowing through resistors R_1 and R_2 are negligible. Those of skill in the art will appreciate that while an impedance value Z_L , including both resistive (real) and reactive (imaginary) components, is being referred to for the sake of precision, in most practical applications the load D is essentially resistive. In any case, a resistance value being much higher than an impedance value simply means that the resistance value is much higher (at least an order of magnitude) than the modulus of the impedance.

Provided these conditions are met, in the arrangement of Figure 4 the load current is proportional to (1) the controlling voltage V_{dac} , (2) the ratio of the values of the feedback resistors R_1 , R_2 and inversely proportional to the value of the sensing resistor R_s , i.e., $I_{load} = \frac{V_{dac}}{R_s} \left(\frac{R_1}{R_2} \right)$.

Also the output current is independent of the load impedance Z_L , to thereby provide a true transconductance amplifier. The gain (transconductance) of the converter can thus be set to a desired value by properly choosing R_1 , R_2 , R_s . Because the transconductance depends on R_1/R_2 and R_s , if any constraint exists on one of these factors (for instance R_s), the other factor can be easily adapted in order to obtain the desired gain.

The arrangement shown in Figure 4 has no offset (apart from the operational amplifier input offset) and requires only a single supply voltage. The operational amplifier A must operate with a power supply having only two output

terminals, one at ground and the other at a supply voltage. This is a requirement that is currently met by most currently available low cost "rail-to-rail" input operational amplifiers.

Identical values of R_1 and identical values of R_2 (where R_1 is not typically equal to R_2) in the two feedback loops associated with the amplifier represent a preferred choice that provides stable operation of the converter circuit and enable gain to be dependent on the ratio $(\frac{R_1}{R_2})$, rather than only on the value of R_s . As a result, the value of R_s need not be used to control the range of V_{dac} and drift of the amplifier. An important associated requirement for proper operation of the converter of Figure 4 is that the voltage divider ratios of the positive feedback loop and the negative feedback loop are the same.

The block diagram of Figure 5 is a generalization of Figure 4 by regarding the input voltage V_{dac} , as a differential input voltage ($V_a - V_b$) applied to the inverting and non-inverting inputs of the amplifier A via the two resistors R_2 in the first and second dividers.

Also, the values V_{s1} and V_{s2} whose difference, $(V_{s2} - V_{s1})$, is the voltage across sensing resistor R_s can be obtained as a differential value that can be derived from any point of the circuit, since resistor R_s is connected in series with the load D.

Because, the values of the resistors R_1 are selected so that the currents flowing through them are negligible, the current flowing through the sensing resistor R_s is identical to the current I_{load} flowing through the load D. Due to the action performed by the two feedback loops included in the voltage dividers including resistors R_1 and R_2 , such a current is proportional to input voltage V_{dac} (in the circuit of Figure 4) or the difference $(V_a - V_b)$ (in the circuit of Figure 5).

The current I_{load} through the load connected to resistor R_s causes a proportional differential voltage $V_{s2}-V_{s1}$ across sensing resistor R_s . This is also irrespective of any thermal drift or offset voltage V_{term} at the load terminal opposite the load terminal directly connected to R_s . It is to be understood, however, that the offset voltage V_{term} can be ground or a finite, non-zero value.

The block B shown in Figure 5 has an input terminal connected directly to the output terminal of amplifier A and an output terminal directly connected to the terminal of resistor R_s that drives the voltage divider having its tap connected to the non-inverting input terminal of amplifier A. Block B, is e.g. an amplifier stage in the form of a current amplifier or in the form of a voltage amplifier. In the embodiment of Figure 4 block B is merely a wire between the output of amplifier A and a terminal of resistor R_s . In the generalization of Figure 5 block B has a gain factor with a positive value, so that block B can provide AC or DC signal coupling between its input and output terminals.

A requirement for the arrangement shown in Figure 5, which facilitates closed-loop control, is that when the voltage at the operational amplifier A output increases the differential value $V_{s2}-V_{s1}$ must also increase, to prevent the circuit from oscillating. To provide stability, the polarity of the combined gain of the amplifier arrangement comprising amplifier A cascaded with block B must be positive for the circuit of Figure 5. If the gain polarity of block B is negative, the inputs of operational amplifier A are reversed to also change the polarity of the operational amplifier gain. In particular if block B has a negative gain factor, the voltage at the terminal where V_{s2} is derived in Figure 5 is fed back through a first of resistors R_1 to the non-inverting input terminal of amplifier A and the voltage at the terminal where V_{s1} is derived in Figure 5 is fed back to the inverting input

terminal of amplifier A through a second of resistors R1. The inverting and non-inverting input terminals of such an amplifier arrangement, with a negative gain block B, are respectively responsive to Va and Vb, as coupled through a pair of resistors R2. The load current of such a modified amplifier arrangement is $I_{load} = \frac{(V_a - V_b)}{R_s} \cdot \frac{R_1}{R_2}$, i.e., the same as in the device of Figure 5 that has a positive gain factor in block B. More generally, the operational amplifier stability requirements obtained from a data-sheet of the operational amplifier A must be met.

Figure 6 is a block diagram of an exemplary application of the generalized circuit of Figure 5 to precisely set the current of a laser diode L driven by a laser current driver comprising the block B that has a negative gain factor so that the voltage at the output of block B is directly proportional to and the same polarity as $(V_B - V_A)$, where V_A and V_B are respectively the voltages at the non-inverting and inverting input terminals of the "voltage-to-current converter" as in Figure 5.

To provide the negative gain factor and employ a single ended DC power supply, block B must have (1) AC signal coupling (without DC signal coupling) and the output of V_{dac} as applied to the circuit of Figure 6 must include AC components that block B passes and supplies to the load via resistor R_s , or (2) DC coupling with suitable DC offset.

In Figure 6, the voltage dividers are connected to terminals of resistor R_s that are reversed from the terminals of Figure 5. In Figure 6, a first resistor R1 is connected between the non-inverting input terminal of amplifier A and the common terminal of the output of block B and resistor R_s , where V_{s1} is derived. In Figure 5, such a first resistor R1 is connected between the non-inverting input terminal of amplifier A and the common terminal of

resistor R_s and load D , where voltage V_{s1} is derived. In Figure 6, a second resistor R_1 is connected between the inverting input terminal of amplifier A and the common terminal of resistor R_s and load L where voltage V_{s2} is derived. In Figure 5 the second resistor R_1 is connected between the inverting input terminal of amplifier A and the common terminal of the output of block B and resistor R_s where voltage V_{s2} is derived.

In the arrangement of Figure 6, the laser L represents the load proper and the current through the laser L is sunk by the driver B, which acts as a current-controlled current generator. To enable block B to sink the current through laser diode L the anode of laser diode L is connected to an ungrounded positive voltage terminal of a DC bias source and the cathode of the laser source is connected to the terminal of resistor R_s where voltage V_{s2} is derived. A DC bias current thereby flows from the bias source through the laser diode, thence through resistor R_s and a high output impedance of block B, between the block output terminal and ground. The output of block B changes, i.e., modulates, the DC bias current in response to the voltage V_{dac} . Such biasing and control provides better operation of the light emitting properties of some laser diodes than is attained by connecting such laser diodes between ground and the terminal where V_{s1} is derived in Figure 5.

Block B in Figure 5 can source the current through laser diode L by reversing the diode polarity from the polarity illustrated in Figure 6 so the anode of the diode is connected to the terminal where voltage V_{s1} is derived and the cathode of the diode is grounded.

The following relationship applies to the circuit of Figure 6:

$$(V_{s2} - V_{s1}) = (R_1/R_2) \cdot V_{dac}$$

and the current I_{laser} through the laser L can be expressed as:

$$I_{laser} = (V_{s2} - V_{s1}) / R_s = (R_1/R_2) (V_{dac}/R_s), \text{ provided } R_1, R_2 \text{ are much larger than } R_s.$$

Figure 7 is a circuit diagram of a modification of the circuit of Figure 6. The circuit of Figure 7 is concerned with certain applications wherein the current I_{laser} flowing through the laser L must be shut down slowly, that is provided by slowly decreasing the voltage applied across the diode to avoid sudden changes in the power balance of optical amplifiers responsive to the optical output of the laser diode.

Optical systems usually require the laser source to be shut down within a time interval that is shorter than the intervals which can be achieved by gradually decreasing the DAC output voltage. This is because of the minimum timing requirements of the digital communication between the microcontroller and the DAC. Conversely, fully satisfactory operation of the laser can be achieved by using the arrangement shown in Figure 7 that essentially corresponds to a combination of the arrangements shown in Figures 5 and 6 because the terminal of resistor R2 that is grounded in Figure 6 is connected to respond to voltage V_{slope} .

The voltage V_{slope} is kept at zero level (that is at ground level) during normal operation of laser L. When gradual turn off of the laser is to be achieved, V_{slope} gradually increases. The circuit of Figure 7 subtracts the gradually increasing voltage V_{slope} from V_{dac} , effectively reducing the laser current in a controlled way, as described in connection with Figure 5.

The rising slope voltage V_{slope} can be generated in a known manner, for instance by a programmed control voltage source or a simple RC network including:

(1) a capacitor C_s connected between ground and a first terminal of resistor R2, and

(2) a resistor R_{sd} connected between the first terminal of resistor R2 and a bias voltage source V_T .

A switch, such as an electronic switch SW, is connected in parallel to capacitor C_s to keep the capacitor grounded (uncharged) during normal operation of the circuit

so that V_{slope} is kept at zero level during normal operation of laser L.

When gradual turn off is required, the switch SW is opened, thus permitting the capacitor Cs to be gradually charged towards V_T through the resistor Rsd. The voltage V_{slope} thus gradually increases and subtracts from V_{dac} , effectively reducing the laser current in a controlled way.

Of course, without prejudice to the underlying principle of the invention, the details and embodiments may vary, also significantly, with respect to what has been described and shown, by way of example only without departing from the scope of the invention as defined by the annexed claims.